UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/851,313	05/09/2001	Tatsuya Usami	NEC01P069-MSb	2820
	7590 02/13/200 ELLECTUAL PROPEI	EXAMINER		
8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD			MALDONADO, JULIO J	
SUITE 200 VIENNA, VA 22182-3817			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2823	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/13/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/851,313	USAMI, TATSUYA		
Examiner	Art Unit		
JULIO J. MALDONADO	2823		

	JULIO J. MALDONADO	2823	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>30 January 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A		-	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this An no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE ').	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	n. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply original controls.	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	031160
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	ΓE below);	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beti appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially rec	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	* **	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			•
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		-	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proven the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 4, 5, 8, 31, 34, 35, 37, 38, 40-53, 55</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	<u>and 56</u> .		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	. h _ f		h
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	∋d.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but .	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Matthew S. Smith/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2823			
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment filed 01/30/2008 in reply to the final rejection has been considered but is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance and will not be entered because the proposed amendment raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. The amended independent claims now add the limitation "...a methylated hydrogen silsesquioxane (MHSQ) layer formed on and being in contact with said MSQ layer...an inorganic...formed on and bein in contact with said MHSQ layer...", where there was no mention within the claims of this limitation. The amendment raises new issues into the prosecution of the instant application and would thus provide grounds for a new search and further consideration.